



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,817	12/13/2001	Ilan Levy	01/22952	6282	
7590 03/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Martin D. Moynihan			MAIER, LEIGH C		
PRTSI, Inc. P.O. Box 16446			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22215			1623		
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/009,81	17	LEVY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Leigh C. N	∕laier	1623	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communicatio			L	idress
Period fo		DEDLY IS SET T	O EVOIDE A MONTH	(C) OR THIRTY (3	ON DAVE
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and wing statute, cause the apple.	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin septire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Since this application is in condition for al	This action is n		osecution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are pending in the applida) Of the above claim(s) <u>11 and 13-104</u> is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 105</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	s/are withdrawn			
Application	on Papers				
9) 🗀 -	The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			
10) 🗆 🕆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or	•			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur  2. Certified copies of the priority docur  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detaile	ments have been ments have been priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment	• •				
1) 🔯 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	۵۱	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/28/03, 7/1/05</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

Art Unit: 1623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-49 and 105 in the reply filed on July 14, 2004 is acknowledged. For the required election of species, Applicant has elected a polysaccharide-binding domain having another protein covalently coupled thereto and indicated that claims 1-10, 12 and 105 read on this species. Claims 1-104 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions and currently non-elected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10, 12 and 105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a "process of manufacturing a polysaccharide containing material having at least one *desired* structural, chemical, physical, electrical and/or mechanical property ..." (emphasis added) However, there is no description of what these "desired" properties might be. Therefore, it would appear that the outcome of the process depends on what happens to be in the mind of the artisan approaching the process. Because of this, one of ordinary skill would not be apprised of the metes and bounds of the claims.

Art Unit: 1623

The claim further recites treating the polysaccharide structures "before, during and/or after processing said polysaccharide structures into the polysaccharide containing material."

Therefore the independent claim covers any time during the "lifetime" of the polysaccharide structure and polysaccharide-containing material. However, claims 2, 3 and 4 are limited to "before," "during" and "after," respectively, but there does not appear to be any particular distinction in these time periods. For example, take the processing of cellulose into paper:

Cellulose exists in a tree and eventually ends up as a substance recognizable as paper. There are a number of steps between cutting down the tree and ending up with paper. It is not clear at what point in this process "before" becomes "during." Furthermore, it appears that the distinction between "during" and "after" would depend on the desired ultimate product.

In view of the foregoing, the claims are rendered vague and indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 12 and 105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bates et al (WO 97/07203) with Beguin et al (FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 1994) to support inherency.

Bates discloses the use of cellulase and amylase to modify various properties of polysaccharides at various stages of processing. See page 7, beginning at line 5 and continuing

Art Unit: 1623

through page 9, line 5; page 14; and examples at pages 17-54. These polysaccharidase enzymes inherently comprise polysaccharide binding domains covalently attached to a catalytic domain. The catalytic domain can function independently of the binding domain and therefore must be considered a protein in its own right. For cellulase, see Beguin at page 35, 2<sup>nd</sup> column.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10, 12 and 105 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al (WO 97/07203) with Beguin et al (FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 1994) to support inherency, as above.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,817 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

Bates teaches as set forth above. The reference does not exemplify each material recited in claim 5 at every stage of processing ("before," "during" or "after"). However, each of these exemplifications is expressly suggested, as cited above.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a multi-domain polysaccharidase, wherein one of the domains is a polysaccharide binding domain to modify the physical properties (as defined by the reference, these would include structural, chemical, electrical and mechanical) at any stage of processing into a polysaccharide-containing material. One of ordinary skill would expect success in such a process because it is expressly suggested in Bates.

Art Unit: 1623

## Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Anna Jiang (571) 272-0627, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (571) 273-8300.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Patent Examiner

Leigh C. Maier

January 23, 2006